Subject No. 187.
Road required from Hanbal to Devarunda in Manjarabad Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—A road is required from Hanbal to Devarunda. This has been brought to the notice of Government by Mr. Elliot of Barchenhalla Estate.

Dewan's reply.—Yes: the project is under examination. As the cost will be debited to Local Funds, the Local Fund Board is being consulted.

Subject No. 188.
Musafarkhana required for Saklespur.

Summary of discussion.—A musafarkhana is required at Saklespur. There is now no chattram or other accommodation available there for the general class of travellers.

Dewan's reply.—Will be provided in its turn.

Subject No. 189.
Dispensary required at Hanbal.

Summary of discussion.—A dispensary is required at Hanbal about midway between Mudgere and Saklespur.

Dewan's reply.—One will be provided soon.

Subject No. 190.
Rangacharlu memorial Chattram at Arsikere.

Summary of discussion.—When His Highness the Maharaja was at Arsikere in 1886, the people requested that the chattram built by public subscription in memory of the late Mr. Rangacharlu, may be taken under Government management. Favorable consideration was promised and, under orders of the Deputy Commissioner, the Amildar has lattely taken charge of the chattram. But nothing has been done for it and it is going to ruin. The people of Arsikere pray that it may be ordered to be repaired.

Dewan's reply.—Needful orders will be given.

TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Subject No. 191.
Government should manage private temples endowed with Inam lands.

Summary of discussion.—Temples which do not get any permanent periodical allowance from Government, but only hold inam lands are not under Government management; so, Government Officers cannot settle any dispute such as those relating to the right to worship therein, &c., arising in such temples. These temples should be placed under the management of the Muzarayi Department and a board should be appointed in every District to settle such disputes.

Dewan's reply.—Inexpedient.

Subject No. 192.
Procedure in Revenue inquiries.

Summary of discussion.—At present, claims to village offices are referred to Amildars for inquiry, and judgments based upon such inquiries are passed by the higher officers. It is requested that these claims may not be referred to Amildars but that they may be inquired into by the deciding officers themselves.

Dewan's reply.—There appears to be no harm in this. The Amildar is qualified to make these inquiries. He makes them in the presence of the parties who examine and cross-examine the witnesses. He sends up the record often with his own opinion and finding; and the Assistant Commissioner or the Deputy Commissioner decides upon that record after

hearing the parties again, and calling for further evidence or enquiry where this may be found to be necessary. This procedure saves time and trouble to parties and witnesses who would otherwise often have to go long distances to the Court of the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner.

Subject No. 193.
Hulbanni to non-resident land-holders.

Summary of discussion.—Even non-resident raiyats should be allowed to take part in the Hulbanni of a village, if they hold lands in that village though they may not reside therein.

Dewan's reply.—No change in existing rules is necessary. Under the Land Revenue Rules, the Deputy Commissioner has discretion to make needful arrangements to reconcile all wants and interests as far as possible.

Subject No. 194.
Patel's permission before gathering arecanut crop.

Summary of discussion.—Every time that the arecanut crop is gathered, the permission of the Patel has to be obtained. This restriction should be dispensed with. It often happens that the Patel is absent or through ill-will makes some objection or other.

Dewan's reply.—Noted for consideration with the questions relating to supari land assessment and halat. The rule is not that the Patel's permission should be obtained but rather that intimation should be given to him.

Subject No. 195.
Honge and Tangadi leaves from State Forests for manure.

Summary of discussion.—Cultivators of wet lands should be allowed to take Honge and Tangadi leaves from State and District Forests for manure.

NOTE.—A similar representation was made by Mr. Sugatur Venkataramanaiya (R. M. from Sidlaghatta Taluk, Kolar District).

Dewan's reply.—This cannot be done. But facilities for growing Honge and Tangadi for manure are under consideration. (*Vide* Subjects Nos. 139 and 152 of last year's Proceedings.)

Subject No. 196.
Water-supply project for Tumkur.

Summary of discussion.—It is requested that proper water-supply may be secured to the town of Tumkur as attempted already. In 1888, Mr. Krishna Murti Rao, the then Deputy Commissioner, wrote to Government for sanction to a project, but no reply as yet has been received.

Dewan's reply.—The subject will be considered.

Subject No. 197.
Trade and shop-tax in Tumkur District Municipalities.

Summary of discussion.—According to Circular No. 23 of 1887 issued by the then Deputy Commissioner, Municipal house-tax is levied on shops in Municipalities in addition to the mohatarfa shop-tax. It is requested that out of the two taxes (one on the trade and the other on the building wherein such trade is carried on), only one may be retained and the other remitted.